stichting
mathematisch
centrum

AFDELING NUMERIEKE WISKUNDE
(DEPARTMENT OF NUMERICAL MATHEMATICS)

NW 35/76 DECEMBER

C. DEN HEIJER

ON THE LOCAL CONVERGENCE OF NEWTON'S METHOD

Prepublication

2e boerhaavestraat 49 amsterdam

Printed at the Mathematical Centre, 49, 2e Boerhaavestraat, Amsterdam.

The Mathematical Centre, founded the 11-th of February 1946, is a non-profit institution aiming at the promotion of pure mathematics and its applications. It is sponsored by the Netherlands Government through the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O).

AMS(MOS) subject classification scheme (1970): 65J05, 65H10

On the local convergence of Newton's method *)

by

C. den Heijer

ABSTRACT

In a Banachspace X, let F be an operator with Lipschitz continuous derivative F', and $x^* \in X$ such that $F(x^*) = 0$ and $F'(x^*)$ is invertible. In a recent paper, Rall showed that an open ball B_1 with centre x^* and a specified radius exists such that the Newton-Kantorovich theorem guarantees rapid convergence to x^* starting from any $x_0 \in B_1$. In this note we focus attention on the existence and convergence of the Newton sequence $\{x_k\}$ leaving out the question of whether the hypotheses of the Newton-Kantorovich theorem are satisfied. In this way we are able to prove that a ball $B_2 \supset B_1$ exists with centre x^* and a specified radius such that under the same hypotheses as Rall assumed, the Newton sequence converges quadratically to x^*, starting from any $x_0 \in B_2$. The radius of B_2 is shown to be the best possible.

KEY WORDS & PHRASES: *Newton's method, local convergence.*

---

*) This report will be submitted for publication elsewhere

Let F be a nonlinear operator from a Banach space X into itself. Suppose that the Fréchet-derivative $F'(x)$ of F exists for all $x \in X$. In order to find a solution $x = x^*$ of the equation

$$(1) \qquad F(x) = 0$$

one might use *Newton's method.* It consists in constructing a so called *Newton sequence* $\{x_k\}$ defined by

$$(2) \qquad x_{k+1} = x_k - F'(x_k)^{-1}F(x_k), \qquad k = 0,1,\ldots,$$

where x_0 is some suitably chosen *starting point* of the sequence. Assume that there is a region $\Omega \subset X$ and a constant $\gamma > 0$, such that

$$(3) \qquad \|F'(x) - F'(y)\| \le \gamma\|x - y\|, \qquad \text{for all } x,y \in \Omega.$$

Assume further that x^* is a solution of (1), and that $F'(x^*)$ has an inverse whose norm $\|F'(x^*)^{-1}\|$ satisfies

$$(4) \qquad \|F'(x\ )^{-1}\| \le \beta.$$

Let $B(x,r) = \{y \mid \|y - x\| < r\}$ and let $r_1 = (2-\sqrt{2})/(2\beta\gamma)$ and $r_2 = 2/(3\beta\gamma)$. We note that $r_1 < r_2$.

In a recent paper, RALL [4] showed that, under conditions (3), (4) and

$$(5) \qquad B(x^*,1/(\beta\gamma)) \subset \Omega$$

the hypotheses of the famous Newton-Kantorovich theorem (cf. [1] and [3]) are satisfied at any starting point x_0 belonging to the ball $B(x^*,r_1)$. This implies that for any x_0 in this ball the Newton sequence $\{x_k\}$ exists and converges to x^*. Moreover, for any $x_0 \in B(x^*,r_1)$, the Newton-Kantorovich theorem was shown to imply that the sequence $\{x_k\}$ converges more rapidly than a geometric progression. Rall proved, by means of a counterexample, that no radius $r > r_1$ exists such that the Newton-Kantorovich theorem still ensures rapid convergence for all $x_0 \in B(x^*,r)$.

In this note we focus attention on the existence and convergence of the Newton-sequence $\{x_k\}$, leaving out the question of whether the hypotheses of the Newton-Kantorovich theorem are satisfied. In this way we are able to prove that, again under the conditions (3), (4) and (5), the Newton sequence $\{x_k\}$ exists and converges to x^* whenever x_0 belongs to the ball $B(x^*,r_2) \supset B(x^*,r_1)$. For all of these x_0 the convergence will be shown to be quadratic, and the value r_2 will be shown to be the best possible.

<u>THEOREM</u>. *Let* x^* *be a solution of* (1) *and let* F *satisfy* (3). *Assume further that* $F'(x^*)$ *has an inverse that satisfies* (4). *Finally let*

$$B(x^*,2/(3\beta\gamma)) \subset \Omega. \tag{6}$$

Then for any starting point $x_0 \in B(x^*,r_2)$ *the Newton sequence* $\{x_k\}$ *exists and converges to* x^*. *Moreover a constant* $C > 0$ *exists such that*

$$\|x_{k+1}-x^*\| \leq C\|x_k-x^*\|^2, \qquad k = 0,1,\ldots\ .$$

<u>PROOF</u>. (a) For any ε, where $0 < \varepsilon \leq 2$, let $\alpha(\varepsilon) = (2-\varepsilon)/(2+2\varepsilon)$. We note that $0 \leq \alpha(\varepsilon) < 1$.

Let $x \in B(x\ ,(2-\varepsilon)/(3\beta\gamma))$. Following the same argument as Rall, we may conclude that

(i) $F'(x)$ has an inverse whose norm $\|F'(x)^{-1}\|$ satisfies

$$\|F'(x)^{-1}\| \leq \beta/(1-\beta\gamma\|x - x^*\|).$$

(ii) $F(x^*)-F(x) = F'(x)(x^*-x)+ \int_0^1 [F'(x+t(x^*-x))-F'(x)](x^*-x)dt.$

Thus

$$x-F'(x)^{-1}F(x)-x^* = F'(x)^{-1} \int_0^1 [F'(x+t(x^*-x))-F'(x)](x^*-x)dt.$$

Therefore

$$\|x-F'(x)^{-1}F(x)-x^*\| = \|F'(x)^{-1}\int_0^1 [F'(x+t(x^*-x))-F'(x)](x^*-x)dt\| \le$$

$$\le \|F'(x)^{-1}\| \int_0^1 \gamma t dt \|x-x^*\|^2 \le \frac{\beta\gamma\|x-x^*\|^2}{2(1-\beta\gamma\|x-x^*\|)} .$$

So

$$\text{(7)} \qquad \|x-F'(x)^{-1}F(x)-x^*\| \le \frac{\beta\gamma\|x-x^*\|^2}{2(1-\beta\gamma\|x-x^*\|)} .$$

Thus for any $x \in B(x^*,(2-\varepsilon)/(3\beta\gamma))$,

$$\text{(8)} \quad \|x-F'(x)^{-1}F(x)-x^*\| \le \frac{\beta\gamma(2-\varepsilon)/(3\beta\gamma)}{2(1-\beta\gamma(2-\varepsilon)/(3\beta\gamma))}\|x-x^*\| = \alpha(\varepsilon)\|x-x^*\| .$$

(b) Let $x_0 \in B(x^*,r_2)$. Then an $\varepsilon > 0$ exists such that $x_0 \in B(x^*,(2-\varepsilon)/(3\beta\gamma))$. From (8) it follows that the Newton sequence $\{x_k\}$ with starting point x_0 exists and remains in $B(x^*,(2-\varepsilon)/(3\beta\gamma))$. Furthermore

$$\|x_k-x^*\| \le [\alpha(\varepsilon)]^k\|x_0-x^*\| \to 0, \qquad \text{for } k \to \infty.$$

From (7) it follows that

$$\|x_{k+1}-x^*\| \le \frac{3\beta\gamma}{2}\|x-x^*\|^2, \qquad k = 0,1,\dots . \quad \square$$

The value $2/(3\beta\gamma)$ for r_2 is as large as possible, even if we strengthen condition (6) of the theorem by requiring that $\Omega = X$. This is shown by the following example.

Let $X = \mathbb{R}^1$, and $F: \mathbb{R}^1 \to \mathbb{R}^1$ be defined by

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 1/(2\beta^2\gamma) , & \text{for } x > 1/(\beta\gamma) \\ -\frac{1}{2}\gamma x(x-2/(\beta\gamma)) , & \text{for } 0 \le x \le 1/(\beta\gamma) \\ \frac{1}{2}\gamma x(x+2/(\beta\gamma)) , & \text{for } -1/(\beta\gamma) \le x < 0 \\ -1/(2\beta^2\gamma) , & \text{for } x < -1/(\beta\gamma). \end{cases}$$

It is easily verified that $F(0) = 0$, $\|F'(0)^{-1}\| = \beta$ and $\|F'(x)-F'(y)\| \le$ $\le \gamma\|x-y\|$, for all $x,y \in X$. So for any starting point $x_0 \in (-2/(3\beta\gamma),2/(3\beta\gamma))$ the Newton sequence $\{x_k\}$ converges to $x^* = 0$. However, if we take

$x_0 = 2/(3\beta\gamma)$, the Newton-sequence $\{x_k\}$ satisfies: $x_k = (-1)^k 2/(3\beta\gamma)$ $(k = 1,2,3,\ldots)$. Consequently $\{x_k\}$ does not converge to $x^* = 0$.

If X is an arbitrary Hilbertspace, then it can also be shown by means of a counterexample that the value $2/(3\beta\gamma)$ of r_2 is as large as possible (cf. [2]).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank Professor M.N. SPIJKER for introducing him to the subject and for his useful comments on a draft of this note.

REFERENCES

[1] W.B. GRAGG, & R.A. TAPIA, *Optimal error bounds for the Newton-Kantorovich theorem,* this Journal, 11 (1974), pp. 10-13.

[2] C. DEN HEIJER, *Iterative solution of nonlinear equations by inbedding methods,* Report NW 32/76, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam (1976), pp. 32-35.

[3] L.V. KANTOROVICH, *Functional analysis and applied mathematics,* Uspehi Mat. Nauk, 3 (1948); pp. 89-185: English transl., National Bureau of Standards Rep. 1509, Washington, D.C., 1952.

[4] L.B. RALL, *A note on the convergence of Newton's method,* this Journal, 11 (1974), pp. 34-36.